

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,048	10/21/2004	Kari Pulkkinen	0365-0609PUS1	8405
2392 11/10/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			KAZIMI, HANI M	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination PULKKINEN ET AL. Art Unit	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/512,048		
Review	Hani Kazimi	3691	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 9/20/2010.
 Improper Request – The Rereason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains unc is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been be appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailling this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date tible.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Hani Kazimi</u> . (2) <u>Alexander Kalinowski</u> .	(3)Vincent Millin /vm/ Appeals Conference Specialist.
/Hani M. Kazimi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691	(4) /A. K./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691

Part of Paper No. 20101107 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office